



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,272	03/09/2001	Philip K. Yu	998000 PA1	6375

7590 02/02/2004
PHILIP K. YU
REGISTERED PATENT ATTORNEY
20955 PATHFINDER ROAD
SUITE 160
DIAMOND BAR, CA 91765

EXAMINER	
AU, SCOTT D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2635	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/803,272

Applicant(s)

YU ET AL.

Examiner

Scott Au

Art Unit

2635

All Participants:

(1) Scott Au.

(2) Michael Horabik.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Mr. Philip Yu.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 January 2004

Time: 10:30am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Kimura

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MICHAEL HORABIK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Yu described the invention as being a remote controller unit built-in recorder or being coupled by means for recording any sounds such as voice, ambient noises television etc. so that the sounds can be reproduced at a later time. Kimura disclosed a remote control for recording voice in order to control a device using same recognized voice. The examiner indicated such differences have not been claimed and suggested to amend claims to distinguish the recorder used in invention ~~and~~ recorder of Kimura.

vs.